



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/813,829	03/06/97	HOGAN	B 16016.0005

DAVID G PERRYMAN
NEEDLE & ROSENBERG
SUITE 1200 THE CANDLER BLDG
127 PEACHTREE STREET NE
ATLANTA GA 30303-1811

HM32/0216

EXAMINER	
CLARK, D	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1633	

DATE MAILED: 02/16/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Interview Summary

Application No.
08/813,829

Applicant(s)
Hogan, B. L. M.

Examiner
Deborah Clark

Group Art Unit
1633

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Deborah Clark

(3) David Perryman

(2) Brian Stanton

(4) _____

Date of Interview Feb 11, 1999

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: None, specifically

Identification of prior art discussed:
None

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:
Discussed the process limitation in claims previously issued. Discussed designation of ES cell regarding germline transmission. Discussed the state of the prior art. Discussed potential amendment which would rely upon previously issued claims. Applicants plan to elect group 1.


(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.


DEBORAH CLARK
PATENT EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1633